

The Basic New Keynesian Model

Jordi Galí

CREI, UPF and Barcelona GSE

May 2018

Evidence on Monetary Policy, Output, and Prices:


- Short run effects of monetary policy shocks
 - (i) persistent effects on real variables
 - (ii) slow adjustment of aggregate price level
 - (iii) liquidity effect
- Micro evidence on price and wage-setting behavior: significant rigidities

Failure of Classical Monetary Models


Key ingredients of the NK Model

- monopolistic competition
- nominal rigidities


Figure 1. Estimated Dynamic Response to a Monetary Policy Shock




Federal funds rate



GDP




GDP deflator



M2

Figure 1 - Examples of individual price trajectories (French and Italian CPI data)



Note : Actual examples of trajectories, extracted from the French and Italian CPI databases. The databases are described in Baudry *et al.* (2004) and Veronese *et al.* (2005). Prices are in levels, denominated in French Francs and Italian Lira respectively. The dotted lines indicate events of price changes.

Source: Dhyne et al. (JEP, 2006)

TABLE 1. Measures of price stickiness in the euro area and the US (% per month unless otherwise stated).

Statistics		Euro area	US
CPI*	Frequency	15.1	24.8
	Average duration (<i>months</i>)	13.0	6.7
	Median duration (<i>months</i>)	10.6	4.6
PPI†	Frequency	20.0	n.a
Surveys‡	Frequency	15.9	20.8
	Average duration (<i>months</i>)	10.8	8.3
NKPC§	Average durations (<i>months</i>)	13.5–19.2	7.2–8.4
Internet prices¶	Frequency	79.2	64.3

The Basic New Keynesian Model: Key Blocks

- *Assumptions:*

- monopolistic competition in the goods market
- staggered price setting
- cashless limit

- *New Keynesian Phillips Curve*

$$\pi_t = \beta E_t\{\pi_{t+1}\} + \kappa \tilde{y}_t$$

where $\pi_t \equiv p_t - p_{t-1}$ and $\tilde{y}_t \equiv y_t - y_t^n$

- *Dynamic IS Equation*

$$\tilde{y}_t = -\frac{1}{\sigma} (i_t - E_t\{\pi_{t+1}\} - r_t^n) + E_t\{\tilde{y}_{t+1}\}$$

- *Monetary Policy Rule*

$$i_t = \rho + \phi_\pi \pi_t + \phi_y \hat{y}_t + v_t$$

where $\hat{y}_t \equiv y_t - y$

Representative household solves

$$\max E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t U(C_t, N_t; Z_t)$$

where $\beta \equiv \exp\{-\rho\}$.

$$C_t \equiv \left[\int_0^1 C_t(i)^{1-\frac{1}{\epsilon}} di \right]^{\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon-1}}$$

subject to

$$\int_0^1 P_t(i) C_t(i) di + Q_t B_t \leq B_{t-1} + W_t N_t + D_t$$

where $Q_t \equiv \exp\{-i_t\}$, for $t = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ plus solvency constraint.

1. Optimal allocation of expenditures

$$C_t(i) = \left(\frac{P_t(i)}{P_t} \right)^{-\epsilon} C_t$$

where

$$P_t \equiv \left[\int_0^1 P_t(i)^{1-\epsilon} di \right]^{\frac{1}{1-\epsilon}}$$

Implication:

$$\int_0^1 P_t(i) C_t(i) di = P_t C_t$$

2. Other optimality conditions

$$-\frac{U_{n,t}}{U_{c,t}} = \frac{W_t}{P_t}$$

$$Q_t = \beta E_t \left\{ \frac{U_{c,t+1}}{U_{c,t}} \frac{P_t}{P_{t+1}} \right\}$$

Specification of utility:

$$U(C_t, N_t; Z_t) = \left(\frac{C_t^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} - \frac{N_t^{1+\varphi}}{1+\varphi} \right) Z_t$$

where $z_t \equiv \log Z_t = \rho_z z_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t^z$

Log-linearized optimality conditions

$$w_t - p_t = \sigma c_t + \varphi n_t \equiv mrs_t$$

$$c_t = E_t\{c_{t+1}\} - \frac{1}{\sigma}(i_t - E_t\{\pi_{t+1}^p\} - \rho) + \frac{1}{\sigma}(1 - \rho_z)z_t$$

- Continuum of firms, indexed by $i \in [0, 1]$
- Each firm produces a differentiated good
- Identical technology

$$Y_t(i) = A_t N_t(i)^{1-\alpha}$$

where $a_t \equiv \log A_t = \rho_a a_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t^a$

- Isoelastic demand \Rightarrow constant desired markup $\mathcal{M} \equiv \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon-1}$ under flexible prices
- Probability of being able to reset price in any given period: $1 - \theta$, independent across firms (Calvo (1983))
- $\theta \in [0, 1]$: index of price stickiness
- Implied average price duration $\frac{1}{1-\theta}$

The New Keynesian Phillips Curve

- *Price level dynamics*

$$P_t = [\theta(P_{t-1})^{1-\epsilon} + (1-\theta)(P_t^*)^{1-\epsilon}]^{\frac{1}{1-\epsilon}}$$

Log-linearized version:

$$p_t = \theta p_{t-1} + (1-\theta)p_t^*$$

- *Optimal Price Setting*

$$\max_{P_t^*} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta^k E_t \{ \Lambda_{t,t+k} (1/P_{t+k}) (P_t^* Y_{t+k|t} - C_{t+k}(Y_{t+k|t})) \}$$

subject to

$$Y_{t+k|t} = \left(\frac{P_t^*}{P_{t+k}} \right)^{-\epsilon} C_{t+k}$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ where $\Lambda_{t,t+k} \equiv \beta^k U_{c,t+k} / U_{c,t}$

The New Keynesian Phillips Curve

- Optimal Price Setting (cont.)

Optimality condition:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta^k E_t \{ \Lambda_{t,t+k} Y_{t+k|t} (1/P_{t+k}) (P_t^* - \mathcal{M}\Psi_{t+k|t}) \} = 0$$

Log-linearized version:

$$p_t^* = \mu + (1 - \beta\theta) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\beta\theta)^k E_t \{ \psi_{t+k|t} \}$$

Remark: if $\alpha = 0$, then $\psi_{t|t-k} = \psi_t$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

The New Keynesian Phillips Curve

- *Inflation and the Markup Gap*

$$\pi_t = \beta E_t \{ \pi_{t+1} \} - \lambda (\mu_t - \mu)$$

where $\mu \equiv \log \mathcal{M}$, $\mu_t \equiv p_t - \psi_t$, $\psi_t \equiv w_t - (a_t - \alpha n_t + \log(1 - \alpha))$ and $\lambda \equiv \frac{(1-\theta)(1-\beta\theta)}{\theta} \frac{1-\alpha}{1-\alpha+\alpha\epsilon}$

- *Labor Market Clearing*

$$w_t - p_t = \sigma c_t + \varphi n_t$$

$$n_t = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} (y_t - a_t)$$

- *Goods Market Clearing*

$$y_t = c_t$$

- *Average Markup and the Output Gap*

$$\mu_t = - \left(\sigma + \frac{\varphi + \alpha}{1 - \alpha} \right) y_t + \left(\frac{1 + \varphi}{1 - \alpha} \right) a_t + \log(1 - \alpha)$$

Under flexible prices:

$$\mu = - \left(\sigma + \frac{\varphi + \alpha}{1 - \alpha} \right) y_t^n + \left(\frac{1 + \varphi}{1 - \alpha} \right) a_t + \log(1 - \alpha)$$

Combining both:

$$\mu_t - \mu = - \left(\sigma + \frac{\varphi + \alpha}{1 - \alpha} \right) \tilde{y}_t$$

- *The New Keynesian Phillips Curve*

$$\pi_t = \beta E_t \{ \pi_{t+1} \} + \kappa \tilde{y}_t$$

where $\kappa \equiv \lambda \left(\sigma + \frac{\varphi + \alpha}{1 - \alpha} \right)$

- Properties of the NKPC::

- (i) Forward-looking

$$\pi_t = \kappa \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta^k E_t \{ \tilde{y}_{t+k} \}$$

⇒ no role for past inflation

- (ii) No tradeoff between output gap and inflation stabilization

⇒ "the Divine Coincidence"

⇒ costless disinflations

- (iii) Model-based vs. traditional output gap

$$\hat{y}_t = y_t - f(t)$$

$$\tilde{y}_t \equiv y_t - y_t^n$$

⇒ may distort empirical assessments

Empirical evidence




Fig. 3. Inflation (continuous line) and marginal cost (dashed line) in selected OECD countries.

Source: Galí, Gertler and López-Salido (EER 01)

Table 1
Structural estimates

	Parameters				Test
	θ	β	λ	D	J
Euro Area					
$\mu = 1.1$, $\alpha = 0.175$					
(1)	0.777 (0.021)	0.843 (0.046)	0.099 (0.025)	4.5 (0.09)	8.843 (0.452)
(2)	0.834 (0.032)	0.915 (0.040)	0.047 (0.022)	6.0 (0.19)	8.214 (0.513)
United States					
$\mu = 1.1$, $\alpha = 0.270$					
(1)	0.603 (0.051)	0.872 (0.041)	0.311 (0.106)	2.5 (0.13)	7.022 (0.534)
(2)	0.698 (0.058)	0.923 (0.029)	0.154 (0.070)	3.3 (0.19)	5.760 (0.674)

Note: Parameter α is calibrated so that $(1 - \alpha)$ equals the average labor income share times the chosen markup (μ). The average labor income shares are taken to be equal to $\frac{2}{3}$ for the US and $\frac{3}{4}$ for the Euro Area. Sample Period: 1970–1998. Column D reports the implied average price duration. J is the Hansen test statistic for the overidentifying restrictions (p -value in brackets). Instruments for Euro area estimation: inflation $t - 1$ to $t - 5$, output gap, labor income share and wage inflation: $t - 1$ to $t - 2$. Instruments for US estimation: the same excepts inflation from $t - 1$ to $t - 4$.

The Dynamic IS Equation

- Euler equation + goods market clearing

$$y_t = E_t\{y_{t+1}\} - \frac{1}{\sigma}(i_t - E_t\{\pi_{t+1}\} - \rho) + \frac{1}{\sigma}(1 - \rho_z)z_t$$

Combined with $\tilde{y}_t \equiv y_t - y_t^n$

$$\tilde{y}_t = -\frac{1}{\sigma}(i_t - E_t\{\pi_{t+1}\} - r_t^n) + E_t\{\tilde{y}_{t+1}\}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} r_t^n &\equiv \rho + \sigma E_t\{\Delta y_{t+1}^n\} + (1 - \rho_z)z_t \\ &= \rho - \frac{\sigma(1 + \varphi)(1 - \rho_a)}{\sigma + \varphi}a_t + (1 - \rho_z)z_t \end{aligned}$$

- Interest rate rule

$$i_t = \rho + \phi_\pi \pi_t + \phi_y \hat{y}_t + v_t$$

- The role of monetary aggregates: implementation
 - money demand (assuming separable utility of real balances):

$$m_t - p_t = y_t - \eta i_t$$

- implied money growth:

$$\Delta m_t = \pi_t + \Delta y_t - \eta \Delta i_t$$

The Basic New Keynesian Model: Key Blocks

- *New Keynesian Phillips Curve*

$$\pi_t = \beta E_t\{\pi_{t+1}\} + \kappa \tilde{y}_t$$

- *Dynamic IS Equation*

$$\tilde{y}_t = -\frac{1}{\sigma}(i_t - E_t\{\pi_{t+1}\} - r_t^n) + E_t\{\tilde{y}_{t+1}\}$$

where

$$r_t^n = \rho - \frac{\sigma(1+\varphi)(1-\rho_a)}{\sigma+\varphi}a_t + (1-\rho_z)z_t$$

- *Monetary Policy Rule*

$$i_t = \rho + \phi_\pi \pi_t + \phi_y \hat{y}_t + v_t$$

where $\hat{y}_t \equiv y_t - y$

- *System of difference equations:*

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{y}_t \\ \pi_t \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{A}_T \begin{bmatrix} E_t\{\tilde{y}_{t+1}\} \\ E_t\{\pi_{t+1}\} \end{bmatrix} + \mathbf{B}_T u_t$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &\equiv \hat{r}_t^n - \phi_y \hat{y}_t^n - v_t \\ &= -\frac{(1+\varphi)(\phi_y + \sigma(1-\rho_a))}{\sigma + \varphi} a_t + (1-\rho_z)z_t - v_t \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{A}_T \equiv \Omega \begin{bmatrix} \sigma & 1 - \beta\phi_\pi \\ \sigma\kappa & \kappa + \beta(\sigma + \phi_y) \end{bmatrix} \quad ; \quad \mathbf{B}_T \equiv \Omega \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \kappa \end{bmatrix}$$


$$\text{with } \Omega \equiv \frac{1}{\sigma + \phi_y + \kappa\phi_\pi}$$

- Uniqueness condition (Bullard and Mitra):

$$\kappa(\phi_\pi - 1) + (1 - \beta)\phi_y > 0$$

- Analytical solution (method of undetermined coefficients)

Equilibrium uniqueness under the simple interest rate rule



- Calibration (Galí (2015))

Households: $\sigma = 1$; $\varphi = 5$; $\beta = 0.99$; $\epsilon = 9$; $\eta = 4$; $\rho_z = 0.5$

Firms: $\alpha = 1/4$; $\theta = 3/4$; $\rho_a = 0.9$

Policy rules: $\phi_\pi = 1.5$, $\phi_y = 0.125$; $\rho_v = 0.5$











- Dynamic Responses to Exogenous Shocks

(i) Monetary policy











(ii) Discount factor

(iii) Technology











Dynamic responses to a monetary policy shock: Interest rate rule



Dynamic responses to a discount rate shock: Interest rate rule



Dynamic responses to a technology shock: Interest rate rule



Estimated Effects of Technology Shocks





FIGURE 4. ESTIMATED IMPULSE RESPONSES FROM A FIVE-VARIABLE MODEL: U.S. DATA, FIRST-DIFFERENCED HOURS (POINT ESTIMATES AND ± 2 STANDARD ERROR CONFIDENCE INTERVALS)

Source: Galí (1999)

Estimated Effects of Technology Shocks



Source: Basu, Fernald and Kimball (2006)