

Erratum

Erratum to “European inflation dynamics”
[European Economic Review 45 (2001),
1237–1270][☆]

Jordi Galí^{a,*}, Mark Gertler^b, J. David López-Salido^c

^aCREI, Universitat Pompeu Fabra, Ramon Trias Fargas 25, 08005 Barcelona, Spain

^bDepartment of Economics, New York University, 269 Mercer St., New York, NY 10003, USA

^cBanco de España, Alcalá 50, 28014 Madrid, Spain

Received 30 September 2002; accepted 21 October 2002

The code for our paper on European inflation dynamics, published in volume 45 of this journal (Galí et al., 2001), contained an error in the formula used to calibrate the elasticity of output with respect to capital in a Cobb–Douglas production function (the parameter denoted by α in the original paper). That error was also reflected in the

Table 1
Structural estimates

	Parameters				Test
	θ	β	λ	D	J
Euro Area					
$\mu = 1.1$, $\alpha = 0.175$					
(1)	0.777 (0.021)	0.843 (0.046)	0.099 (0.025)	4.5 (0.09)	8.843 (0.452)
(2)	0.834 (0.032)	0.915 (0.040)	0.047 (0.022)	6.0 (0.19)	8.214 (0.513)
United States					
$\mu = 1.1$, $\alpha = 0.270$					
(1)	0.603 (0.051)	0.872 (0.041)	0.311 (0.106)	2.5 (0.13)	7.022 (0.534)
(2)	0.698 (0.058)	0.923 (0.029)	0.154 (0.070)	3.3 (0.19)	5.760 (0.674)

Note: Parameter α is calibrated so that $(1 - \alpha)$ equals the average labor income share times the chosen markup (μ). The average labor income shares are taken to be equal to $\frac{2}{3}$ for the US and $\frac{3}{4}$ for the Euro Area. Sample Period: 1970–1998. Column D reports the implied average price duration. J is the Hansen test statistic for the overidentifying restrictions (p -value in brackets). Instruments for Euro area estimation: inflation $t - 1$ to $t - 5$, output gap, labor income share and wage inflation: $t - 1$ to $t - 2$. Instruments for US estimation: the same excepts inflation from $t - 1$ to $t - 4$.

[☆] PII of the original article S0014-2921(00)00105-7

We thank Fabrizio Venditti for first pointing out the error in our original paper.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +34-93-542-27-54; fax: +34-93-542-17-46.

E-mail address: jordi.gali@crei.upf.es (J. Galí).

Table 2
Hybrid model

	Parameters							Test	
	ω	θ	β	γ_b	γ_f	λ	D	J	
Euro Area									
$\mu = 1.1, \alpha = 0.175$									
(1)	0.028 (0.099)	0.778 (0.024)	0.846 (0.053)	0.035 (0.120)	0.820 (0.046)	0.091 (0.041)	4.5 (0.11)	8.767 (0.362)	
(2)	0.307 (0.128)	0.843 (0.066)	0.923 (0.071)	0.272 (0.072)	0.689 (0.047)	0.021 (0.026)	6.4 (0.42)	7.484 (0.380)	
United States									
$\mu = 1.1, \alpha = 0.270$									
(1)	0.299 (0.059)	0.591 (0.065)	0.870 (0.053)	0.345 (0.045)	0.593 (0.047)	0.161 (0.077)	2.4 (0.16)	4.726 (0.693)	
(2)	0.355 (0.067)	0.640 (0.073)	0.912 (0.044)	0.364 (0.042)	0.599 (0.038)	0.100 (0.057)	2.8 (0.20)	4.216 (0.755)	

Note: See note to Table 1 for details.

Table 3
Hybrid model: further inflation lags

	Parameters							Test	
	ω	θ	β	γ_b	γ_f	λ	ψ	D	J
Euro Area									
(1)	0.113 (0.085)	0.782 (0.074)	0.847 (0.059)	0.128 (0.086)	0.751 (0.044)	0.074 (0.047)	-0.01 (0.089)	4.6 (0.34)	6.350 (0.096)
(2)	0.195 (0.105)	0.867 (0.107)	0.861 (0.069)	0.188 (0.083)	0.719 (0.043)	0.026 (0.062)	0.049 (0.077)	7.1 (0.76)	5.925 (0.115)
United States									
(1)	0.300 (0.106)	0.646 (0.106)	0.870 (0.128)	0.326 (0.089)	0.610 (0.062)	0.117 (0.080)	0.044 (0.067)	2.8 (0.30)	1.863 (0.761)
(2)	0.323 (0.112)	0.669 (0.114)	0.895 (0.131)	0.333 (0.088)	0.617 (0.057)	0.093 (0.071)	0.036 (0.065)	3.0 (0.34)	1.566 (0.815)

Note: All the estimates correspond to the model under decreasing returns to labor.

description of that calibration in the note to Table 1. Below we report the corrected estimates corresponding to the panels of Tables 1–3 that were affected by the error. None of the qualitative results are significantly altered, though the implied estimates of average price durations are somewhat higher, especially in the Euro area.

References

- Galí, J., Gertler, M., López-Salido, D., 2001. European inflation dynamics. *European Economic Review* 45, 1237–1270.