

Additional material to the paper
”Does money matter in shaping domestic business cycles?
An international investigation”
Fabio Canova and Tobias Menz

Appendix A: Derivation of the log-linearized conditions

Household’s problem

The Lagrangian for the household’s maximization problem is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & E_0 \left\{ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \left[a_t \left(U \left(x_t, \frac{M_t}{p_t e_t} \right) - \eta n_t \right) \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \Lambda_t \left(M_{t-1} + T_t + B_{t-1} + W_t n_t + D_t - p_t c_t - \frac{B_t}{R_t} - M_t \right) \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where $x_t \equiv c_t - h c_{t-1}$ is the flow of consumption good and h is an external habit formation parameter. Letting real balances be denoted by $m_t = M_t/p_t$, the first order conditions are

$$c_t : \quad a_t U_1(x_t, m_t/e_t) = \Lambda_t p_t \tag{1}$$

$$n_t : \quad a_t \eta = \Lambda_t W_t \tag{2}$$

$$B_t : \quad \frac{\Lambda_t}{R_t} = \beta E_t \Lambda_{t+1} \tag{3}$$

$$M_t : \quad \Lambda_t - a_t U_2(x_t, m_t/e_t) \frac{1}{p_t e_t} = \beta E_t \Lambda_{t+1} \tag{4}$$

Letting $w_t = W_t/p_t$ denote the real wage rate and combining (1) and (2) yields the optimal labor-leisure condition

$$\eta = w_t U_1(x_t, m_t/e_t) \tag{5}$$

Letting $\pi_t = p_t/p_{t-1}$ denote the gross inflation rate in period t and combining (1) and (3) yields the intertemporal Euler equation

$$a_t U_1(x_t, m_t/e_t) = \beta R_t E_t \left[\frac{a_{t+1} U_1(x_{t+1}, m_{t+1}/e_{t+1})}{\pi_{t+1}} \right] \quad (6)$$

Finally, combining (1), (3) and (4) yields the money demand equation

$$(R_t - 1)e_t U_1(x_t, m_t/e_t) = R_t U_2(x_t, m_t/e_t) \quad (7)$$

Firm's problem

The first order condition from the firm's maximization of future discounted streams of real dividends is given by

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & (1 - \theta) \left(\frac{p_t^i}{p_t} \right)^{-\theta} \left(\frac{y_t}{p_t} \right) + \theta \left(\frac{p_t^i}{p_t} \right)^{-\theta-1} \left(\frac{y_t w_t}{z_t p_t} \right) - \phi \left(\frac{p_t^i}{\pi^s p_{t-1}^i} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{y_t}{\pi^s p_{t-1}^i} \right) \\ & + \beta \phi E_t \left[\frac{a_{t+1} U_1(x_{t+1}, m_{t+1}/e_{t+1})}{a_t U_1(x_t, m_t/e_t)} \left(\frac{p_{t+1}^i}{\pi^s p_t^i} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{y_{t+1} p_{t+1}^i}{\pi^s (p_t^i)^2} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Since we consider a symmetric equilibrium in which all firms behave identically, $p_t^i = p_t$, the above equation simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & (1 - \theta) \left(\frac{y_t}{p_t} \right) + \theta \left(\frac{y_t w_t}{z_t p_t} \right) - \phi \left(\frac{\pi_t}{\pi^s} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{y_t \pi_t}{\pi^s p_t} \right) \\ & + \beta \phi E_t \left[\frac{a_{t+1} U_1(x_{t+1}, m_{t+1}/e_{t+1})}{a_t U_1(x_t, m_t/e_t)} \left(\frac{\pi_{t+1}}{\pi^s} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{y_{t+1} \pi_{t+1}}{\pi^s p_t} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Dividing by y_t/p_t and substituting for w_t from (5) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \theta - 1 = & \frac{\theta \eta}{z_t U_1(x_t, m_t/e_t)} - \phi \left(\frac{\pi_t}{\pi^s} - 1 \right) \frac{\pi_t}{\pi^s} \\ & + \beta \phi E_t \left[\frac{a_{t+1} U_1(x_{t+1}, m_{t+1}/e_{t+1})}{a_t U_1(x_t, m_t/e_t)} \left(\frac{\pi_{t+1}}{\pi^s} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{\pi_{t+1} y_{t+1}}{\pi^s y_t} \right) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Log-linearization

In what follows, a hat-variable denotes percentage-deviation from the steady state, for example, $\hat{R}_t = \log(R_t/R^s) \simeq \frac{dR_t}{R^s}$. Since all output is consumed in equilibrium, $y_t = c_t$. Furthermore, equation 6 in the steady state collapses to $1 = \beta \frac{R^s}{\pi^s}$. Finally, for notational convenience, we omit the arguments of the derivatives of the utility function, i.e. we will use U_1 for $U_1(x^s, m^s/e^s)$ and so on.

Euler equation

Log-linearizing (6) around a deterministic steady state we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} U_1(\hat{R}_t + E_t \hat{a}_{t+1} - E_t \hat{\pi}_{t+1}) + U_{11} y^s (E_t \hat{y}_{t+1} - h \hat{y}_t) + U_{12} \frac{m^s}{e^s} (E_t \hat{m}_{t+1} - E_t \hat{e}_{t+1}) \\ = U_1 \hat{a}_t + U_{11} y^s (\hat{y}_t - h \hat{y}_{t-1}) + U_{12} \frac{m^s}{e^s} (\hat{m}_t - \hat{e}_t) \end{aligned}$$

Collecting terms gives

$$\begin{aligned} U_{11} y^s (1 + h) \hat{y}_t &= U_{11} y^s E_t \hat{y}_{t+1} + U_{11} y^s h \hat{y}_{t-1} \\ &+ U_1 [\hat{R}_t - E_t \hat{\pi}_{t+1} - (\hat{a}_t - E_t \hat{a}_{t+1})] \\ &- U_{12} \frac{m^s}{e^s} [(\hat{m}_t - \hat{e}_t) - (E_t \hat{m}_{t+1} - E_t \hat{e}_{t+1})] \end{aligned}$$

Defining

$$\omega_1 \equiv -\frac{U_1(x^s, m^s/e^s)}{y^s U_{11}(x^s, m^s/e^s)} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_2 \equiv -\frac{(m^s/e^s) U_{12}(x^s, m^s/e^s)}{y^s U_{11}(x^s, m^s/e^s)}$$

the linearized Euler equation is

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{y}_t &= \frac{1}{1+h} E_t \hat{y}_{t+1} + \frac{h}{1+h} \hat{y}_{t-1} \\ &- \frac{\omega_1}{1+h} \left[\hat{R}_t - E_t \hat{\pi}_{t+1} - (\hat{a}_t - E_t \hat{a}_{t+1}) \right] \\ &+ \frac{\omega_2}{1+h} [(\hat{m}_t - \hat{e}_t) - (E_t \hat{m}_{t+1} - E_t \hat{e}_{t+1})] \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Money demand

Linearizing the equation (7) yields

$$\begin{aligned} R^s e^s U_1 \hat{R}_t + (R^s - 1) e^s U_1 \hat{e}_t + (R^s - 1) e^s \left[y^s U_{11} (\hat{y}_t - h \hat{y}_{t-1}) + \frac{m^s}{e^s} U_{12} (\hat{m}_t - \hat{e}_t) \right] \\ = R^s U_2 \hat{R}_t + R^s y^s U_{21} (\hat{y}_t - h \hat{y}_{t-1}) + R^s m^s / e^s U_{22} (\hat{m}_t - \hat{e}_t) \end{aligned}$$

In the steady state $(R^s - 1) e^s U_1 = R^s U_2$. Hence, collecting terms we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [(R^s - 1) e^s U_{12} - R^s U_{22}] \frac{m^s}{e^s} \hat{m}_t \\ = [R^s U_{21} - (R^s - 1) e^s U_{11}] y^s (\hat{y}_t - h \hat{y}_{t-1}) - \frac{R^s}{R^s - 1} U_2 \hat{R}_t \\ + \left[((R^s - 1) e^s U_{12} - R^s U_{22}) \frac{m^s}{e^s} - (R^s - 1) e^s U_1 \right] \hat{e}_t \end{aligned}$$

Defining

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_2 &= \frac{R^s}{(R^s - 1)(m^s/e^s)} \left(\frac{U_2(x^s, m^s/e^s)}{(R^s - 1)e^s U_{12}(x^s, m^s/e^s) - R^s U_{22}(x^s, m^s/e^s)} \right) \\ \gamma_1 &= \left(R^s - 1 + R^s \omega_2 \frac{y^s}{m^s} \right) \left(\frac{\gamma_2}{\omega_1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

we can write the linearized money demand equation as

$$\hat{m}_t = \gamma_1 (\hat{y}_t - h \hat{y}_{t-1}) - \gamma_2 \hat{R}_t + (1 - (R^s - 1) \gamma_2) \hat{e}_t \quad (10)$$

Phillips curve

When linearizing the optimal pricing rule (8), the equation simplifies considerably because the price adjustment cost term is zero in the steady state and we are left with $\theta - 1 = \frac{\theta \eta}{z^s U_1(x^s, m^s/e^s)}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -(\theta - 1) \hat{z}_t - (\theta - 1) \frac{U_{11} y^s}{U_1} (\hat{y}_t - h \hat{y}_{t-1}) - (\theta - 1) \frac{U_{12} m^s}{U_1 e^s} (\hat{m}_t - \hat{e}_t) \\ &\quad - \phi \hat{\pi}_t + \beta \phi E_t \hat{\pi}_{t+1} \end{aligned}$$

Solving for $\hat{\pi}_t$, defining $\psi = (\theta - 1)/\phi$ and using the definitions of ω_1 and ω_2 :

$$\hat{\pi}_t = \beta E_t \hat{\pi}_{t+1} + \psi \left(\frac{1}{\omega_1} (\hat{y}_t - h \hat{y}_{t-1}) - \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1} (\hat{m}_t - \hat{e}_t) - \hat{z}_t \right) \quad (11)$$

Policy rule

Log-linearizing the assumed policy rule implies

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{R}_t &= \rho_r \hat{R}_{t-1} + (1 - \rho_r) \rho_y \hat{y}_{t-p} + (1 - \rho_r) \rho_\pi \hat{\pi}_{t-p} \\ &+ (1 - \rho_r) \rho_m \Delta(\hat{m}_{t-p} + \hat{\pi}_{t-p}) + \hat{e}_t \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Summarizing, the log-linearized optimality conditions are

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{y}_t &= \frac{1}{1+h} E_t \hat{y}_{t+1} + \frac{h}{1+h} \hat{y}_{t-1} - \frac{\omega_1}{1+h} \left[\hat{R}_t - E_t \hat{\pi}_{t+1} - (\hat{a}_t - E_t \hat{a}_{t+1}) \right] \\ &+ \frac{\omega_2}{1+h} [(\hat{m}_t - \hat{e}_t) - (E_t \hat{m}_{t+1} - E_t \hat{e}_{t+1})] \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

$$\hat{m}_t = \gamma_1 (\hat{y}_t - h \hat{y}_{t-1}) - \gamma_2 \hat{R}_t + (1 - (R^s - 1) \gamma_2) \hat{e}_t \quad (14)$$

$$\hat{\pi}_t = \beta E_t \hat{\pi}_{t+1} + \psi \left(\frac{1}{\omega_1} (\hat{y}_t - h \hat{y}_{t-1}) - \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1} (\hat{m}_t - \hat{e}_t) - \hat{z}_t \right) \quad (15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{R}_t &= \rho_r \hat{R}_{t-1} + (1 - \rho_r) \rho_y \hat{y}_{t-p} + (1 - \rho_r) \rho_\pi \hat{\pi}_{t-p} \\ &+ (1 - \rho_r) \rho_m \Delta(\hat{m}_{t-p} + \hat{\pi}_{t-p}) + \hat{e}_t \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where

$$\omega_1 = - \frac{U_1(x^s, \frac{m^s}{e^s})}{y^s U_{11}(x^s, \frac{m^s}{e^s})} \quad (17)$$

$$\omega_2 = - \frac{m^s U_{12}(x^s, \frac{m^s}{e^s})}{e^s y^s U_{11}(x^s, \frac{m^s}{e^s})} \quad (18)$$

$$\gamma_2 = \frac{R^s}{(R^s - 1)(m^s/e^s)} \left(\frac{U_2(x^s, \frac{m^s}{e^s})}{(R^s - 1)e^s U_{12}(x^s, \frac{m^s}{e^s}) - R^s U_{22}(x^s, \frac{m^s}{e^s})} \right) \quad (19)$$

$$\gamma_1 = (R^s - 1 + R^s \omega_2 \frac{y^s}{m^s}) \left(\frac{\gamma_2}{\omega_1} \right) \quad (20)$$

$$\psi = \frac{\theta - 1}{\phi} \quad (21)$$

Appendix B: Estimates in alternative specifications

	Basic	$\omega_2 = 0$	$\rho_m = 0$	$\omega_2 = h = 0$	$\rho_m = h = 0$
ψ	2.0427 (0.4450)	1.0055 (0.2765)	0.0136 (0.0102)	1.3679 (0.3586)	1.9232 (0.4926)
	0.9900	0.9900	0.9900	0.9900	0.9900
ω_2	-0.9794 (0.2861)	0.0000	-0.1441 (0.1940)	0.0000	-0.1987 (0.1816)
γ_2	0.3647 (0.0959)	0.4039 (0.1044)	0.2854 (0.0769)	0.3428 (0.1020)	0.3520 (0.0609)
h	0.1447 (0.1006)	0.7324 (0.0975)	0.8330 (0.1431)	0.0000	0.0000
ρ_r	0.6281 (0.0378)	0.6408 (0.0404)	0.7645 (0.0979)	0.6375 (0.0386)	0.6101 (0.0412)
ρ_y	0.4769 (0.1181)	0.5066 (0.1049)	0.6811 (0.0995)	0.4144 (0.1024)	0.4584 (0.1144)
ρ_p	6.0743 (0.5099)	6.2789 (0.5616)	0.4611 (1.3736)	6.4268 (0.5534)	6.8726 (0.5774)
ρ_m	1.7993 (0.2543)	1.8759 (0.2789)	0.0000	1.8526 (0.2837)	0.0000
ρ_a	0.9862 (0.0301)	0.9563 (0.0156)	0.9327 (0.0112)	0.9854 (0.0007)	0.9878 (0.0099)
ρ_e	0.9807 (0.0332)	0.9732 (0.0118)	0.9714 (0.0111)	0.9800 (0.0096)	0.9812 (0.0126)
ρ_z	0.9517 (0.0279)	0.6153 (0.1048)	0.7805 (0.1110)	0.9444 (0.0336)	0.9560 (0.0267)
σ_r	0.0092 (0.0835)	0.0091 (0.0902)	0.0098 (0.0964)	0.0093 (0.0761)	0.0103 (0.0876)
σ_a	0.4849 (3.5114)	0.1677 (0.4051)	0.1164 (0.2162)	0.4688 (0.0926)	0.6066 (1.4836)
σ_e	0.0088 (0.0714)	0.0085 (0.0742)	0.0091 (0.0784)	0.0085 (0.0657)	0.0083 (0.0600)
σ_z	0.0029 (0.1013)	0.0042 (0.0989)	0.0417 (0.3479)	0.0041 (0.0888)	0.0030 (0.0960)
Log L	1589.29	1578.42	1532.75	1573.88	1569.21

Table 1: Comparison with Ireland, US, 1980:1-2008:2. In the estimation β is calibrated at 0.99 and ω_1 at 2.

	M1	M0	Credit	SP500	House Prices	Oil	Government
ψ	1.9317 (0.5031)	1.8982 (0.2721)	1.4166 (0.3635)	0.0533 (0.0100)	0.0513 (0.0222)	0.0761 (0.0768)	0.3916 (0.0505)
	0.9900	0.9900	0.9900	0.9900	0.9900	0.9900	0.9900
ω_2	-0.9454 (0.2646)	-1.0499 (0.2976)	-1.1056 (0.4825)	0.3817 (0.3546)	0.1307 (0.0895)	0.1986 (1.5340)	-0.4426 (0.1223)
γ_2	0.3979 (0.1428)	0.3373 (0.0823)	0.0215 (0.0389)	0.3431 (0.4429)	1.0765 (0.3796)	1.0870 (2.9938)	0.7937 (0.2274)
h	0.1446 (0.1096)	0.1704 (0.1193)	0.8380 (0.0955)	0.8935 (2.2193)	0.9610 (0.2081)	0.9790 (3.1747)	0.6957 (0.0781)
ρ_r	0.6524 (0.0406)	0.6475 (0.0387)	0.6002 (0.0417)	0.7819 (0.1714)	0.5332 (0.0811)	0.0033 (0.1841)	0.6504 (0.0409)
ρ_y	0.5959 (0.1304)	0.5468 (0.1188)	0.2831 (0.1122)	1.1451 (0.9329)	0.7566 (0.1223)	0.2181 (2.8471)	0.2347 (0.0697)
ρ_p	6.6062 (0.6091)	7.0230 (0.6586)	7.1866 (0.5458)	3.9549 (4.3341)	4.3659 (0.6476)	1.0174 (2.3430)	4.0976 (0.3428)
ρ_m	0.8487 (0.2186)	1.7138 (0.2946)	1.1908 (0.1874)	0.9229 (1.9861)	2.0508 (0.4496)	1.8687 (3.1508)	-0.1065 (0.0485)
ρ_a	0.9897 (0.0007)	0.9637 (0.0066)	0.9673 (0.0235)	0.1877 (0.1968)	-0.1515 (0.1847)	2.4511 (3.0195)	0.9709 (0.0140)
ρ_e	0.9893 (0.0067)	0.9510 (0.0097)	0.9706 (0.0072)	0.9082 (0.0435)	0.9310 (0.0045)	0.9144 (0.1397)	0.9529 (0.0162)
ρ_z	0.9481 (0.0297)	0.9172 (0.0200)	0.4928 (0.1377)	0.9856 (0.0274)	0.9393 (0.0332)	0.9546 (0.2492)	0.7599 (0.0554)
σ_r	0.0096 (0.0825)	0.0093 (0.0831)	0.0092 (0.0791)	0.7903 (0.0823)	0.8037 (0.0778)	0.4226 (1.3992)	0.0098 (0.0688)
σ_a	0.6772 (0.1855)	0.2034 (0.2040)	0.2453 (0.8852)	0.0075 (0.7180)	0.1475 (0.1206)	0.0054 (2.6459)	0.1922 (0.5538)
σ_e	0.0149 (0.0680)	0.0107 (0.0644)	0.0150 (0.0722)	0.0086 (0.1867)	0.0135 (0.1691)	0.0261 (2.4934)	0.0310 (0.0531)
σ_z	0.0029 (0.0925)	0.0028 (0.0978)	0.0042 (0.1042)	0.0975 (0.5791)	0.1216 (0.1024)	0.0996 (2.2782)	0.0057 (0.1022)
Log L	1516.48	1456.78	1514.66	1704.94	1869.09	1438.36	2324.89

Table 2: Robustness checks, US, sample 1980:1-2008:2. In the estimation β is calibrated at 0.99 and ω_1 at 2.

	$\omega_1 = 1$			$\omega_1 = 2$		
	Full sample	Sample 1	Sample 2	Full sample	Sample 1	Sample 2
ψ	0.1494 (0.0485)	0.0175 (0.0110)	0.5829 (0.1574)	0.7433 (0.1595)	0.0009 (0.0013)	2.0427 (0.4450)
ω_2	-0.1155 (0.3202)	-0.2313 (0.3495)	-0.6372 (0.1257)	-0.5112 (0.4827)	24.1444 (8.1261)	-0.9794 (0.2861)
γ_2	0.0881 (0.0871)	0.0970 (0.1779)	0.3259 (0.0813)	0.0746 (0.0683)	- 0.0278 (0.0283)	0.3647 (0.0959)
h	0.6972 (0.0777)	0.9554 (0.1010)	0.2078 (0.1163)	0.7169 (0.0696)	0.8846 (0.0262)	0.1447 (0.1006)
ρ_r	0.7190 (0.0376)	0.6711 (0.0824)	0.6408 (0.0329)	0.6822 (0.0352)	0.8080 (0.0707)	0.6281 (0.0378)
ρ_y	0.2460 (0.0909)	0.2769 (0.0882)	0.4669 (0.1135)	0.1774 (0.0690)	0.3571 (0.0938)	0.4769 (0.1181)
ρ_p	4.0873 (0.3095)	2.3676 (0.3252)	6.0247 (0.4626)	4.3702 (0.3835)	2.1510 (0.4814)	6.0743 (0.5099)
ρ_m	1.4961 (0.2490)	0.2139 (0.3201)	1.7117 (0.2578)	1.5788 (0.1957)	-0.0363 (0.2187)	1.7993 (0.2543)
ρ_a	0.9532 (0.0171)	0.9866 (0.0042)	0.9611 (0.0122)	0.9630 (0.0060)	0.9204 (0.0291)	0.9862 (0.0301)
ρ_e	0.9773 (0.0087)	0.9841 (0.0081)	0.9746 (0.0117)	0.9774 (0.0085)	0.9689 (0.0002)	0.9807 (0.0332)
ρ_z	0.7451 (0.0472)	0.8395 (0.0806)	0.9232 (0.0196)	0.7005 (0.0623)	0.8700 (0.0803)	0.9517 (0.0279)
σ_r	0.0092 (0.0660)	0.0071 (0.0865)	0.0091 (0.0756)	0.0099 (0.0672)	0.0067 (0.1172)	0.0092 (0.0835)
σ_a	0.1367 (0.4022)	0.3990 (0.6678)	0.1852 80.3140	0.1625 (0.1825)	0.1316 (0.1683)	0.4849 (3.5114)
σ_e	0.0092 (0.0526)	0.0104 (0.0745)	0.0089 (0.0687)	0.0093 (0.0442)	0.0110 (0.0676)	0.0088 (0.0714)
σ_z	0.0128 (0.1092)	0.0317 (1.0556)	0.0066 (0.1232)	0.0054 (0.0811)	0.4911 (1.5415)	0.0029 (0.1013)
Log L	2722.96	1165.78	1603.98	2674.93	1180.82	1589.29
Sample size	198	198	83	83	115	115

Table 3: Parameter estimates for US. In the estimation β is calibrated at 0.99.